Recognition of Poultry Disease in Real Time using Extreme Learning Machine

Hemalatha¹, S. Muruganand² and R. Maheswaran³

^{1,3}Research Scholar, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Instrumentation, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract-Disease recognition is very important for successful poultry management. This parer aims to focus on real time avian pox disease recognition in poultry. In this paper, two main service employed such as Support Vector Machine with Gaussian Radial Basis Function (SVM (GRBF)) and Extreme learning Machine (ELM) to detect the disease. Texture features are extracted using Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix (GLCM) and mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness are the statistical features. The confusion matrix and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) were used to evaluate the performance of the SVM (GRBF) and ELM. The results showed that ELM classifier provides better accuracy than SVM (GRBF).

Keywords- Avian pox disease, SVM (GRBF), ELM, GLCM, RMSE

I. Introduction

India is the third largest egg producer in the world [1]. To retain the position, to achieve the high rank and to increase egg production, we must prevent the chicken from the disease. The diseases which often affect the chicken are avian pox disease which will drop egg production. The symptoms of avian pox disease are lesions on the head, combs and wattles. Lesions will be a watt- like appearance, yellow to dark brown in color. It affects the featherless areas of the body [2] This paper focus on real time recognition of avian pox disease. For that we acquired an image using wireless IR CCD camera. The acquired image is having some kind of random noise namely salt and pepper hoise. The most popular method to removing impulse noise is median filter (MED) [3] because of its effectiveness and high computational efficiency. In this paper we used median filter to remove impulse noise. After removing the noise, statistical features were extracted using mean, standard deviation, kurosis and skewness and GLCM were also extracted. The results of feature extraction were given as an input to classifiers. In this paper Support Vector Machine with Gaussian Radial Basis Function and Extrane Learning Machine were employed to detect the disease.

2. Related Work

Ilias Maglogiantis et I., [4] proposed an automated diagnosis system for the identification of heart valve diseases based on the Support Vector Machine (SVM) classification of heart sounds. SVM performs a very difficult diagnostic and it classifies the heart sound is healthy or not accurately. Z. Zidelmal et al., [5] proposed support vector machine for ECG beat classification. They used a MIT-BIH arrhythmia database and used let of features are frequency information, RR intervals, QRS morphology and AC power of QRS detail coefficients is exploited to characterize each beat. The accuracy is 97.2 % with no rejection. Oral cancer is classified using support vector machine was proposed by Anuradha .K et al., [6]. In this paper features were extracted using Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix (GLCM). The accuracy of the proposed system is 92.5 %. T. Rumpf et al., [7] proposed an early detection and classification of plant diseases with Support Vector Machines based on hyperspectral reflectance. It detects the sugar beets leaves are diseased or not, and this paper differentiate the diseases before the symptoms become visible. The classification is done by using support vector machine with a radial basis function as a kernel. The accuracy of the

classification is 97 %. Chesner Désir et al., [8] designed an algorithm for distal lung image is pathological or normal using SVM classification with texture descriptors. The accuracy of the classification is 90 to 95%.

Runxuan Zhang et al., [9] proposed and construct an ELM algorithm for fast and efficient classification method to diagnosis a multicategory cancer problem based on microarray data is presented. They used three types of dataset such as GCM data set, the Lung data set, and the Lymphoma data set. The classification methods such as ANN, Linder's SANN, and Support Vector Machine methods like SVM-OVO and Ramaswamy's SVM-OVA and ELM were used. Among the above classifiers ELM gives comparable and better classification accuracies with reduced training time than the other classifier.

With the above literature, avian pox disease is identified with help of the classification methods such as SVM (GRBF) and ELM. This paper analyzed which classification method gives better result.

III. Materials and Method

In order to detect avian pox disease, hen images were taken and image processing techniques applied such as preprocessing (noise removal), feature extraction (GLCM and statistical features) and classification. The overall disease detection method described as in the following section. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of proposed approach.



A massing vision system was applied to acquire the hen images. A wireless IR (Model: IP-702) CCD camera was fixed with raspberry pi along with a proper lighting system and this setup was placed above 50 cm with grain feeder trolley. While giving the feed to the hen this setup captures an image as a video and it was converted into number of frames using image processing techniques applied and it detects the disease.

Median Filter

The acquired image is having random noise namely salt and pepper noise and this is removed using median filter. It is a higher statistical nonlinear filter used to remove the impulse noise. It replaces the value of the center pixel, by the median of the gray levels in the image area enclosed by the filter.

46

B. Feature Extraction

Texture is the one of the important feature for identifying the objects in an image. In this paper texture features are extracted using most popular method called Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM). GLCM is a matrix which describes the frequency of one gray level appearing in a specified spatial linear relationship with another gray level within the area of investigation. It extracts the second order statistical texture feature from an image. It is also known as gray level spatial dependence matrix. Haralick et al., [10] extracted a fourteen texture features from GLCM. In this paper five important features which are contrast, entropy, energy, correlation and homogeneity were extracted from GLCM. And the statistical features when as mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness were extracted.



The Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a widely used for classification and regression analysis. It is a supervised learning models associated with learning algorithms that analyze data and recognize the patterns. It is first introduced in the1992 by Boser, Guyon, and Vapnik [11]. An input space represented by $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$ is classified to output space, which is represented by C_1, C_2, \dots, C_j . To classify the data in input space, SVM tries to find the optimal separating hyperplane among all possible separating hyperplanes. So, it maximizes the margin and obtains good generalization ability. A separating hyperplane is a linear function that can separate the training data into two classes (Class1=+1 and Class2=-1) in the separable feature space. The following function describes a separating hyperplane function

$$\begin{split} D(x) &= (\omega * x) + \omega_0 \text{ ------ (1)} \\ \text{All separating hyperplanes must satisfy the following equation:} \\ Y_i[(\omega * x_i) + \omega_0] \geq 1 \quad i = 1, \dots, n \text{ ------- (2)} \end{split}$$

In this paper we used the kernel function while developing SVM model. Gaussian kernels are used to modify the input space into high dimensional feature space. The kernels having the following equation.

 $K(x_i, x_j) = e^{-||x_i - x_j||^2/2\sigma^2}$ (Gaussian radial basis function kernel) ----- (3)

The values of sigma (σ) differentiate the several radial basis functions used to providing different hyper planes for the classification of data during the Support Vector Machines' calculations.

D. Extreme Learning Machine

Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) is a simple learning algorithm for Single – Hidden Layer Feer Forward Neural network (SLFN). This method is based on the Moore – Penrose generalized inverse powering the minimum Least – Squares solution of general linear systems [12].

SLFNs (with N hidden nodes) with randomly chosen input weights and hidden layer biases (and such hidden nodes can thus be called random hidden nodes) can exactly learn N distinct observations. For N arbitrary distinct samples (X_i, t_i) where $Xi = [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, ..., x_{in}]T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t_i = (t_i + t_{in})^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$, standard SLFNS with \widetilde{N} hidden nodes and activation function g(x) are mathematically modeled as

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} \beta_i g_i (X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} \beta_i g(w_i \cdot X_j + b_i) = oj,$ $J = 1, \dots, N,$

Where $w_i = [w_{i1}, w_{i2}, ..., w_{in}]^T$ is the weight vector connecting the t^{th} hidden node and the input nodes, $\beta_i = [\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, ..., \beta_{im}]^T$ is the weight vector connecting the i^{th} hidden node and the outputs nodes, and b_i is the threshold of the i^{th} hidden node. $w_i \cdot X_j$ Denotes the interproduct of w_i and X_j . The outputs nodes are linear.

That standard SLFNs with \tilde{N} hidden nodes with activation function g(x) can approximate these N samples with zero error means that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} ||o_i - t_i|| = 0$, i.e., there exist β_i , w_i , and b_i such that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i g \left(w_i \cdot X_j + b_i \right) &= t_j, \text{ j = 1,..., N} \\ \text{The above N equations can be written compactly as} \\ & \mathsf{H}\beta = \mathsf{T}, \\ \text{Where,} \\ & \mathsf{H}\beta = \mathsf{T}, \\ \text{Where,} \\ & \mathsf{H}\beta = \begin{bmatrix} g(w_1, X_1 + b_1) & \cdots & g(w_{\bar{N}} \cdot X_1 + b_{\bar{N}}) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g(w_1, X_N + b_1) & \cdots & g((w_{\bar{N}} \cdot X_N + b_{\bar{N}})) \end{bmatrix}_{NX\bar{N}} \\ & \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \beta_N^T \end{bmatrix}_{\bar{N}X_N} \\ & \mathsf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1^T \\ \vdots \\ t_N^T \end{bmatrix}_{NXm} \end{split}$$

Here, H is called the hidden layer output matrix of the neural network; the i^{th} column of H is the i^{th} hidden node output with respect to inputs X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N . If the activation function g is infinitely differentiable we can prive that the required number of hidden nodes $\tilde{N} \leq N$. Algorithm of ELM:

Given a training set $\aleph = \{(X_i, t_i) | X_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, i = 1, ..., N\}$, activation function g(x) and hidden node number \tilde{N}

Step 1: Randomly assign input weight w_i and bias b_i , $i = 1, ..., \tilde{N}$

Step 2: Calculate the hidden layer output matrix H.

Step 3: Calculate the output weight β

 $\beta = H^{\dagger}T$

47

Where $T = [t_1, ..., t_N]^T$

Iv. Results and Discussion

The hen samples used in this paper were collected from one of the poultry farm in Namakkal district, Tamil Nadu, India. There are 110 data were collected. From which 65 data for training purpose and 45 for data used for testing purpose. The experiments are done in MATLAB 7.10 version released in the year 2010. In this paper, feature extraction results were given as an input to Support Vector Machine classifier and Extreme learning Machine. The input image, affected with avian pox disease, gray image and noise filtered image using median filter are shown in figure 2.



Figure 2. (a) Input image, (b) Gray image, (c) noise freed image using median filter

Texture features and statistical features were extracted and their results were given to the classifiers. In this paper confusion matrix and root mean square error were served to evaluate the performance of the Support vector machine with Gaussian Radial Basis Function and extreme learning machine.



Figure 3. Confusion matrix Graph for SVM (GRBF) and ELM

From figure 3, it was observed that ELM accuracy was 95.01 % and 92.77 % for SVM (GRBF). The specificity value of ELM was 96.59 % and 94.93 % for SVM (GRBF) and sensitivity of ELM was 93.75 % and for SVM (GRBF) was 90.8 %. From the result of the confusion matrix, ELM provides better accuracy.

48

Method	RMSE (Training)	RMSE (Testing)
SVM(GRBF)	0.28	0.3
ELM	0.12	0.15

Table III: Root mean square error of svm (grbf) and elm

The RMSE value of SVM (GRBF) for training was 0.28 and for testing 0.3. A very small value of RM ELM was 0.12 for training and REMSE of 0.15 for testing. The following figure 4 showed the RMSE (GRBF) and ELM.



Figure 4. Root Mean Square Er 'M (GRBF) and ELM

The time is the very important factor while choos with classifier. The training and testing time for ELM classifier is lesser than the SVM (GRBF) was shown in table 4.

Table IV: The training and	testing time for	of svm (grbf) and elm
----------------------------	------------------	-----------------------

	Method	Training time (s)	Testing time (s)
•	SV (CRBF)	2.21	1.56
2	ELM	1.02	0.98

GRBF) was 2.21 seconds and testing time was 1.56. The training time of ELM was The training ting 1.02 seconds a conds for testing.

ved from table II, table III and table IV, it was observed that ELM classifies avian pox The re than SVM (GRBF) with less training and testing time.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) and Support Vector Machine with Gaussian Radial Function classifier is used to classify an avain pox disease. Texture features were extracted using Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix and statistical features were extracted using mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness. Based on the confusion matrix results, ELM gives higher accuracy against the SVM (GRBF). The root mean square error value was very small for ELM. And the training time of ELM is very less than the SVM (GRBF). According to the results given above, it was clearly obvious that ELM classifies the disease better than SVM (GRBF).

References

- 1. U.S. Department of Agriculture, "International Egg and Poultry Review", Vol. 14, No. 34, 2011, August.
- 2. "Handbook on Poultry Diseases", 2nd ed., American Soybean Association, Singapore, Page No: 154, 2005.
- 3. R.C. Gonzalez, R.E. Woods, Digital Image Processing, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2002.
- 4. Ilias Maglogiannis, Euripidis Loukis, Elias Zafiropoulos, and Antonis Stasis, "Support Vectors Machine-based identification of heart valve diseases using heart sounds", *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine journal*, Vol.95, Page No: 47-61, 2009.
- 5. Z. Zidelmal, A. Amirou, D. Ould-Abdeslam and J. Merckle, "ECG beat classification using a cost sensitive classifier", *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine journal*, Vol. III, Pare 10: 570-577, 2013.
- 6. Anuradha.K and Dr. K. Sankaranarayanan, "Statistical Feature Extraction to Classify Oral Cancers", Journal of Global Research in Computer Science, Vol.4, No.2, Page No.2 (2) 2013
- 7. T. Rumpf, A.-K. Mahlein and et al., "Early detection and classification of plant diseases with Support Vector Machines based on hyperspectral reflectance", *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Vol. 74, Page No: 91–99, 2010.
- 8. Chesner Désir and et al., "An SVM-based distal lung image classification using texture descriptors", *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, Vol.36, Page No: 26 – 270, 2012.
- Runxuan Zhang and et al., "Multicategory Classification Using an Extreme Learning Machine for Microarray Gene Expression Cancer Diagnosis", IEEE/ACV/TRANSACTIONS On Computational Biology And Bioinformatics, Vol. 4, No.3,2007
- 10. R. Haralick, K. Shanmugam and I. Dinstein, "Texture Features For Image Classification", IEEE Transaction, SMC, Vol.3, issue-3, Page No: 610-621, 193.
- 11. B.E. Boser, I.M. Guyon and V. N. Vapnik, "A training algorithm for the optimal margin classifiers", *Proceedings of the 5th Annual ACM Workstop on Computational Learning Theory*, ACM Press, Pittsburgh, PA, Page No:144–15, 1992
- 12. Guang-Bin Huang and et al., "Extreme earning machine: Theory and applications", *Neuro computing*, Vol. 70, Page No: 489–501 (2006).

Downloaded